

REGIONAL WATER QUALITY NEWSLETTER

DATE: Report for July 12, 2006

Samples Collected on July 13, 2006

From the Phoenix, Tempe, Peoria, CAP, SRP – ASU Regional Water Quality Partnership

<http://enpub.fulton.asu.edu/pwest/tasteandodor.htm>

DISTRIBUTION: **Phoenix:** Greg Ramon, Walid Alsmadi, Edna Bienz, Frank Blanco, Alice Brawley-Chesworth, Paul Burchfield, Jennifer Calles, Aimee Conroy, Mark Royce, Tom Doyle, Ron Jennings, Francisco Gonzales, Randy Gottler, Yu Chu Hsu, Maureen Hymel, Ron Jennings, Tom Martin, Shan Miller, Erin Pysell, Paul Mally, Matt Palencia, Chris Rounseville, Raymond Schultz, Bonnie Smith, Jeff Van Hoy, Brian Watson; **SRP:** Gregg Elliott, Brian Moorehead, Rick Prigg; **CAWCD:** Doug Crosby, Patrick Dent, Brian Henning, Tim Kacerek; Steve Rottas; **Tempe:** Tom Hartman; Michael Bershad, Grant Osburn, Sherman McCutcheon.; **Scottsdale:** Michelle DeHaan, B. Vernon; Suzanne Grendahl; **Gilbert:** Antonio Trejo, Bill Taylor; **Glendale:** Tracey Hockett, Usha Iyer, Stephen Rot, Kim Rimmel, Tracy Hockett; **Mesa:** Alan Martindale; Charolette Jones; William Hughes; Matt Rexing **Peoria:** John Kerns, Dave Van Fleet, Linda Wahlstrom; **Chandler:** Lori McCallum, Robert Goff, Victoria Sharp, Jackie Strong, Chris Kincaid, Wendy Chambers; **Tucson:** Michael Dew. **American Water:** Jeff Stuck, Nina Miller **Chaparral City Water Company (CCWC):** Bob Carlson **Consultants:** G. Maseeh, S. Kommineni (Malcom Pirnie); Warren Swanson (Schmueser Gordon Meyer, Inc., Colorado); Troy Day (CZN); Vance Lee, Bob Ardizzone (Carollo Engineering); Paul Westcott, Applied Biochemists, Shugen Pan, Greeley and Hanson, Larry Baker; **ASU Team:** Paul Westerhoff, Marisa Masles, KC Kruger, Hu Qiang, Milt Sommerfeld, Tom Dempster, Paul Westerhoff, **EPA:** Marvin Young; **DEQ,** Casey Roberts

If you wish to receive the *Newsletter* and are not on our list, send your email address to Dr. Paul Westerhoff (p.westerhoff@asu.edu) get a free “subscription”.

SUMMARY: EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Geosmin concentrations in the upper water column **of Saguaro Lake are 300 to 1000 ng/L!!!** The geosmin concentration is still low in the bottom of the reservoir where water is released, but this will probably change rapidly over the next 30 days. Expect very high geosmin concentrations over the next month if Salt River water continues to be used.
2. **ASU will conduct additional sampling at the end of July to monitor Saguaro Lake**
WTPs on the SRP system are experiencing low MIB but geosmin concentrations of 6 to 18 ng/L.
3. MIB and geosmin in WTP effluents remain low – due in part to PAC addition for DBP control.
4. DOC concentrations remain quite high in the SRP system (~ 4.5 mg/L) and only slightly lower in the CAP system (~3.5 mg/L)
5. SRP funds two new related projects at ASU on algae and DBPs

Table 1 Summary of WTP Operations

	Union Hills	24 th Street WTP	N.Tempe J.G. Martinez	Deer Valley	Greenway WTP	Val Vista	South Tempe	Chandler WTP
Location	CAP	Arizona Canal System				South Canal System		
PAC Type and Dose	No	Norit 20B until Tuesday afternoon	Calgon WPH-C / 20ppm	21.8 ppm	No		Calgon WPH-C, AT 10 ppm	
Copper Sulfate	No	No	No	No	No		No	
PreOxidation	No	No	No	No	2.0 mg/L		No	
Alum Dose Alkalinity pH	12 ppm ¹ 138 ppm 7.3	50 ppm 134 ppm 6.75	39 ppm 130 ppm 7.35	55ppm 90 ppm 6.7	10 ppm 139 ppm 7.5		22.3 ppm 134 ppm 7.67	
WTP Comments		Cleaning out Norit PAC and will try Calgon WPH						
Raw water DOC % DOC removal ²	21%	37%	28%	37%	11%	41%	7%	
Process recommendations							Although PAC dose is high and alum dose high it appears very little DOC or Geosmin is removed – we need to discuss sampling locations	

¹ **Ferric chloride instead of alum**

² Calculated based upon influent and filtered water DOC

MONITORING RESULTS

Table 2 - Water Treatment Plants – July 11, 2006

Sample Description	MIB (ng/L)	Geosmin (ng/L)	Cyclocitral (ng/L)
24 th Street WTP Inlet	2.2	10.4	9.7
24 th Street WTP Treated	<2.0	4.5	<2.0
Deer Valley Inlet	4.7	15.9	17.2
Deer Valley WTP Treated	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
Val Vista Inlet	<2.0	15.7	3.7
Val Vista WTP Treated –East	<2.0	6.4	<2.0
Val Vista WTP Treated -West	2.0	7.6	3.6
Union Hills Inlet	<2.0	<2.0	4.6
Union Hills Treated	<2.0	2.3	<2.0
Tempe North Inlet	<2.0	15.2	7.8
Tempe North Plant Treated	<2.0	3.5	2.6
Tempe South WTP	<2.0	6.1	<2.0
Tempe South Plant Treated	<2.0	5.5	<2.0
Chandler WTP Inlet	3.5	10.8	<2.0
Chandler WTP Treated	2.9	7.2	<2.0
Greenway WTP Inlet	<2.0	13.3	6.2
Greenway WTP Treated	<2.0	4.9	5.5

Table 3 - Canal Sampling – July 11, 2006

System	Sample Description	MIB (ng/L)	Geosmin (ng/L)	Cyclocitral (ng/L)
CAP	Waddell Canal	2.4	<2.0	12.4
	Union Hills Inlet	<2.0	<2.0	4.6
	CAP Canal at Cross-connect	<2.0	3.9	7.7
AZ Canal	Salt River @ Blue Pt Bridge	2.5	18.4	6.5
	Verde River @ Beeline	19.6	10.7	22.0
	AZ Canal above CAP Cross-connect	<2.0	4.4	6.3
	AZ Canal below CAP Cross-connect	3.5	14.3	10.6
	AZ Canal at Highway 87	4.0	13.5	7.5
	AZ Canal at Pima Rd.	<2.0	13.7	9.3
	AZ Canal at 56th St.	<2.0	13.7	10.2
	AZ Canal - Inlet to 24 th Street WTP	2.2	10.4	9.7
	AZ Canal - Central Avenue	<2.0	12.4	25.4
	AZ Canal - Inlet to Deer Valley WTP	4.7	15.9	17.2
	AZ Canal - Inlet to Greenway WTP	<2.0	13.3	6.2
South and Tempe Canals	South Canal below CAP Cross-connect	2.7	16.2	8.7
	South Canal at Val Vista WTP	<2.0	15.7	3.7
	Head of the Tempe Canal	<2.0	15.8	8.2
	Tempe Canal - Inlet to Tempe's South Plant	<2.0	6.1	<2.0
	Chandler WTP – Inlet	3.5	10.8	<2.0

Table 4 - Reservoir Samples – July 11, 2006

Sample Description	Location	MIB (ng/L)	Geosmin (ng/L)	Cyclocitral (ng/L)
Lake Pleasant	Eplimnion	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
Lake Pleasant	Hypolimnion	7.8	2.5	6.8
Verde River @ Beeline		19.6	10.7	22.0
Bartlett Reservoir	Epilimnion	19.4	<2.0	3.6
Bartlett Reservoir	Epi-near dock	60.3	2.4	3.4
Bartlett Reservoir	Hypolimnion	3.0	26.3	3.3
Salt River @ BluePt Bridge		2.5	18.4	6.5
Saguaro Lake	Epilimnion	3.0	368.2	9.3
Saguaro Lake	Epi - Duplicate	3.1	375.1	9.1
Saguaro Lake	Epi-near doc	<2.0	1130	20.7
Saguaro Lake	Hypolimnion	<2.0	2.9	3.1
Verde River at Tangle		21.2	18.4	12.5
Havasu		<2.0	2.1	6.7

**YES – GEOSMIN CONCENTRATIONS
ARE ACTUALLY 300 TO 1100 NG/L IN
SAGUARO LAKE!!**

ASU will conduct additional sampling at the end of July to monitor Saguaro Lake

Table 5 - SRP/CAP OPERATIONS

Values in cfs, for July 12, 2006

System	SRP Diversions	CAP
Arizona Canal	851	135
South Canal	816	0
Pumping	193	0
Total	1860	135

SRP is releasing water from both Verde and Salt River Systems. Salt River release from Saguaro Lake: 1567 cfs; Verde River release from Bartlett Lake: 119 cfs.

Operations and Maintenance Update

7/11/2006

WADDELL RELEASE SCHEDULE				
		% Flow	Date	Time
Current Waddell Releases	2500 cfs	84%	07/11/06	14:00
Current Pass-Thru Flow	470 cfs	16%	07/11/06	14:00
New Waddell Releases	2600 cfs	85%	07/12/06	06:00
New Pass-Thru Flow	470 cfs	15%	07/12/06	06:00
New Waddell Releases				
New Pass-Thru Flow				
New Waddell Releases				
New Pass-Thru Flow				
SPECIAL NOTES / AQUEDUCT ACTIVITIES				

7/12/2006 06:00 Due to an increase in the south load Waddell releases will increase.

ORGANIC MATTER DATA

DOC concentrations remain quite high in the SRP system (~ 4.5 mg/L) and only slightly lower in the CAP system (~3.5 mg/L)

Sample Description	DOC (mg/L)	UV254 (1/cm)	SUVA	TDN (mg/L)
24 th Street WTP Inlet	4.61	0.0921	2.0	0.740
24 th Street WTP Treated	2.89	0.0303	1.0	0.413
Deer Valley Inlet	4.47	0.0907	2.0	0.255
Deer Valley WTP Treated	2.83	0.0246	0.9	0.228
Val Vista Inlet	4.67	0.1032	2.2	0.239
Val Vista WTP Treated –East	2.77	0.0376	1.4	0.183
Val Vista WTP Treated -West	2.75	0.0353	1.3	0.179
Union Hills Inlet	3.60	0.0563	1.6	0.383
Union Hills Treated	2.86	0.0279	1.0	0.353
Tempe North Inlet	4.47	0.0897	2.0	0.457
Tempe North Plant Treated	3.23	0.0401	1.2	0.142
Tempe South WTP	4.42	0.0975	2.2	0.244
Tempe South Plant Treated	4.10	0.0642	1.6	0.295
Chandler WTP Inlet				
Chandler WTP Treated				
Greenway WTP Inlet	4.40	0.0861	2.0	0.497
Greenway WTP Treated	3.91	0.0302	0.8	0.965

Sample Description	Location	DOC (mg/L)	UV254 (1/cm)	SUVA	TDN (mg/L)
Lake Pleasant	Eplimnion	3.50	0.0495	1.4	0.356
Lake Pleasant	Hypolimnion	4.18	0.0443	1.1	0.243
Verde River @ Beeline		1.72	0.0236	1.4	0.209
Bartlett Reservoir	Epilimnion	2.38	0.0285	1.2	0.110
Bartlett Reservoir	Hypolimnion	5.10	0.0994	1.9	0.521
Salt River @ BluePt Bridge		4.81	0.1019	2.1	0.367
Saguaro Lake	Epilimnion	5.70	0.1005	1.8	0.723
Saguaro Lake	Epi - Duplicate	5.62	0.1011	1.8	0.370
Saguaro Lake	Hypolimnion	2.85	0.0494	1.7	0.167
Verde River at Tangle		1.19	0.0275	2.3	0.133
Havasu		2.89	0.0275	1.0	0.458

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

I. Research initiated under the Regional Water Quality project lead to AwwaRF funding and now the final report is published:

http://www.imakenews.com/awwarf2/e_article000611625.cfm?x=b11,0,w

Five-Minute Interview: Paul Westerhoff on Organic Nitrogen

AwwaRF asked Paul Westerhoff, Ph.D, PE, of Arizona State University to discuss [Organic Nitrogen in Drinking Water and Reclaimed Wastewater \(order # 91116, project #2900\)](#). Westerhoff was principal investigator on this project, which sought to develop accurate dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) quantification methods and measure DON concentrations in raw and finished drinking waters and reclaimed wastewaters.

II. Salt River Project funds two ASU studies starting in July 2006:

1. Molecular and Cytological Approaches to Determine Sources of Biotoxins in the Salt River Project Water Supply System (Qiang Hu & Milt Sommerfeld)
2. Predicting Organic Carbon and Disinfection ByProduct Precursors in Metro-Phoenix Surface Water Reservoirs (Paul Westerhoff)

This project will conduct laboratory experiments on water from the three terminal reservoirs (Bartlett Lake, Saguaro Lake, Lake Pleasant) and use the data to calibrate models for municipal users of water (DOC removal models, DBP formation models). Such models will be useful in years to come for SRP to decide with the cities when certain reservoir water qualities are particular troublesome or desirable to assist cities in complying with DBP regulations. Specific objectives include:

- To conduct coagulation jar tests with water from each reservoir during different seasons to reflect variable hydrologic conditions and processes that affect DOC and DBP precursors (e.g., algae growth cycles, runoff, thermal stratification).
- To conduct DBP formation tests on water before and after coagulation tests
- To calibrate existing DOC removal and DBP formation models using experimental data and field data from City of Phoenix water treatment plants. This data has already been provided to ASU as part of the ongoing Regional Water Quality Project which ASU leads, in partnership with Phoenix, Tempe, Peoria, Chandler, CAP, and SRP.

III. A New Environmental Friendly Oxidant

Research literature suggests that ferrate (FeO_4^-) may be an environmentally friendly oxidant. ASU has conducted preliminary experiments with ferrate as an oxidant/coagulant with the expectation that it may both removed DOC and oxidize DBP precursors. Preliminary experiments confirm it removes DOC, but on a mgFe/L basis is equivalent to ferric chloride. Experiments will continue to explore this potentially new treatment process.